

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/508,928		ETTORE ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	DAVID HUANG		2611	

All Participants:

(1) DAVID HUANG

(2) Andrew Wilford

Date of Interview: 3 July 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2-8, 16 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed differently named limitations in the claims that seem to correspond to the same values (x and y vs. A and B). Also discussed other formal matters. Proposed changes by examiner amendment to correct problems in the claims.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:30

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)